



KENT E. GENIN **SHAREHOLDER**

455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive | Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60611

P 312.321.7732

F 312.321.4299

kgenin@brinksgilson.com

EDUCATION

J.D.,
University of Cincinnati College of Law, 1993
B.S., Electrical Engineering
Stanford University, 1988

BAR ADMISSIONS

Illinois
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
U.S. Dist. Court, N.D. Illinois
U.S. Court of Appeals, Fed. Cir.
U.S. Court of Appeals, 5th Circuit

Kent Genin focuses his practice on patent litigation and prosecution, and has extensive experience preparing and prosecuting patent applications both inside the U.S. and internationally. Kent guides clients on developing and managing their patent portfolios and helps them develop strategies for weighing opportunities and costs when considering international patent protection. His work has involved a wide variety of technologies and business areas, including telecommunications systems, memory devices, software, business methods, medical devices, automotive technology, and manufacturing equipment. Kent also counsels clients regarding patentability, validity, infringement, and licensing issues.

With a background in electrical engineering, Kent has the deep technical knowledge necessary to quickly grasp an inventor's work and focus on what needs protecting. He believes in zeroing in on the highest value technologies that drive his clients' business goals and securing tailored patent protection.

Throughout his legal career, Kent has served as adjunct faculty at several prominent law schools, including Northwestern, Loyola, and Valparaiso. His teaching background keeps him informed on developments in patent law; by staying informed and understanding his clients' business goals, Kent is able to advise on all the options they have available to them, and work out tailored solutions to protect their crucial innovations.

EXPERIENCE | LEGAL EXPERIENCE

- **Brinks Gilson & Lione**, Chicago, Illinois
1993-present
- **Killworth Gottman Hagan & Schaeff**, Dayton, Ohio
Law Clerk/Summer Associate, June-August 1991

EXPERIENCE | NON-LEGAL EXPERIENCE

- **Trimble Navigation**, Sunnyvale, California
Member of Technical Staff, August 1988-July 1990
- **Los Alamos National Laboratory**, Los Alamos, New Mexico
Staff Research Assistant – Group T-3, June-August 1988
Undergraduate Technical Aide – Group T-3, June-September 1987

EXPERIENCE | TEACHING EXPERIENCE

- **Northwestern University School of Law**
Adjunct Professor of Law
Patent Prosecution, Spring 2010-Spring 2014
- **Loyola University Chicago School of Law, Chicago, Illinois**
Adjunct Professor of Law
Patent Prosecution, Spring 2009
- **Valparaiso University School of Law, Valparaiso, Indiana**
Adjunct Professor of Law
Patent Prosecution, Fall 2007 and 2008

PRACTICE GROUPS

Litigation

Patent Prosecution

AREA OF FOCUS

Electrical & Computer

FORWARD THINKING

Alerts

- Never Late Is Better: PTAB Denies Petitioners Motion In IPR After Missing Filing Deadline By Minutes, June 14, 2016
- En Banc Federal Circuit Bars USPTO's Recovery of Attorney Fees in §145 District Court Actions - Nantkwest, Inc. v. Iancu, No. 2016-1794 (Fed. Cir. July 27, 2018)(en banc), August 07, 2018
- New Benchmark USPTO Study: AI Patents Are On the Rise, November 10, 2020

HONORS

- *Legal 500*, Recommended Intellectual Property Lawyer, 2014
- Leading Intellectual Property Lawyer, Leading Lawyers Network, Law Bulletin Publishing Company, 2009-2012, 2014-2016

AFFILIATIONS

- American Bar Association
- Chicago Bar Association
- Intellectual Property Owners Association

TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

- Analog Electronics
- Business Methods
- Digital Electronics
- Semiconductors
- Software

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- *Pro Tech Monitoring, Inc. v. Elmo-Tech, Ltd. and ADT Security Systems, Inc.* (M.D. Fla. 2004). Represented defendants in patent infringement action relating to electronic monitoring of parolees.
- *Optobionics v. Peyman* (N.D. Ill. 2002). Represented plaintiff in matter involving misappropriation of trade secrets, breach of contract, and Lanham Act violation relating to artificial retina devices.

Biographies | Kent E. Genin

- *Peyman v. Optobionics* (E.D. La. 2002). Represented defendant in declaratory judgment breach of contract action relating to artificial retina devices.
- *BI Incorporated v. Elmo-Tech Ltd. and SecurityLink, Inc.*, D. Colorado, Case No. 01-N-1568. Represented defendants in patent infringement action relating to electronic monitoring of parolees.
- *Varis v. Scitex Digital Printing, Inc. and Scitex Corporation Ltd.*, S.D. Ohio, Western Division at Dayton, Case Nos. C-3-99-336 and C-3-00-072, 1999-2001. Represented defendants in patent infringement action involving high-speed digital printing technology.